

# Effects of a Pharmacy Driven Continuous Sedation Stop Procedure on Mechanical Ventilation Days

Steven Burgos, PharmD; Karen Holmes, PharmD, BCPS; Christine Hackman, PharmD

## Study Objectives

- To evaluate the efficacy of pharmacy driven efforts to reduce continuous IV sedation and assess its appropriateness
- Collect and compare the number of days spent on mechanical ventilation before and after procedure initiation
- Compare and evaluate the average number of days a patient spends in the intensive care unit (ICU)

## Background

- Sedation is standard treatment for patients undergoing mechanical ventilation
- Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disruption (PADIS) guidelines recommend managing pain prior to initiation of sedation
- Light sedation and spontaneous awakening trials (SATs) are also recommended
- Shorter durations of sedation and SATs have been shown to reduce ICU stays and mechanical ventilation days

## Richmond Agitation & Sedation Scale (RASS)

Score	Description	
+ 4	Combative: Overtly combative, violent, immediate danger to staff	
+ 3	Very agitated: Pulls or removes tube(s) or catheter(s); aggressive	
+ 2	Agitated: Frequent non-purposeful movement, fights ventilator	
+ 1	Restless: Anxious but movements not aggressive vigorous	
0	Alert and calm	
- 1	Drowsy: Not fully alert, but has sustained awakening (eye-opening/eye contact) to voice (>10 seconds)	Verbal Stimulation
- 2	Light sedation: Briefly awakens with eye contact to voice (<10 seconds)	
- 3	Moderate sedation: Movement or eye opening to voice (but no eye contact)	Physical Stimulation
- 4	Deep sedation: No response to voice, but movement or eye opening to physical stimulation	
- 5	Unarousable: No response to voice or physical stimulation	

## Intervention

- Pharmacist performs daily review of patients on continuous sedation
- Patients not meeting exclusion criteria are discussed with licensed independent practitioner for continuous sedation stop

## Background Characteristics

Characteristic	Pre-Procedure (n=85)	Post-Procedure (n=114)
Age – yrs, mean (SD)	71 (6.36)	70 (5.7)
Male, n (%)	45 (53)	55 (48)
BMI, mean (SD)	28.5 (4.56)	28.6 (12)
APACHE II score, mean (SD)	22 (0.7)	24 (0.7)
COVID +, n (%)	0 (0)	26 (23)
<b>Primary Outcomes</b>		
Vent days, mean (hrs)	3.1 (74.4)	3.88 (93.2)
<b>Secondary Outcomes</b>		
All-cause mortality, n (%)	6 (7)	13 (12)
CAM positive days, mean	4	5
ICU days, mean	5.6	6.1
RASS achieved off of continuous sedation, n (%)	8 (9)	42 (37)
Continuous sedation days, mean (hrs)	3.1 (74.4)	2.95 (70.8)
Continuous sedation restarted, n (%)	–	7 (6)

## Preliminary Results

Characteristic	Pre-Procedure (n=85)	Post-Procedure (n=114)
<b>Primary Outcomes</b>		
Vent days, mean (Hrs)	3.1 (74.4)	3.88 (93.2)
<b>Secondary Outcomes</b>		
All-cause mortality, n (%)	6 (7)	13 (12)
CAM positive days, mean	4	5
ICU days, mean	5.6	6.1
RASS achieved off of continuous sedation, n (%)	8 (9)	42 (37)
Continuous sedation days, mean (Hrs)	3.1 (74.4)	2.95 (70.8)
Continuous sedation restarted, n (%)	–	7 (6)

## References

- Kollef MH, Levy NT, Ahrens TS, et al. The use of continuous iv. sedation is associated with prolongation of mechanical ventilation. Chest 1998;114:541–8
- Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gélinas C, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of pain, agitation/ sedation, delirium, immobility, and sleep disruption in adult patients in the ICU. Crit Care Med 2018;46(9):e825–e873.
- Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, et al. Effect of a nursing implemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 1999; 27: 2609–15
- Strøm, T., Martinussen, T., & Toft, P. (2010, January 29). A protocol of no sedation for critically ill patients receiving mechanical ventilation: A randomised trial. The Lancet, 375(9713), 475–480. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)62072-9
- Olsen HT, Nedergaard HK, Strøm T, Jakob O, Wian K-A, Ytrebø LM, et al. Nonsedation or light sedation in critically ill, mechanically ventilated patients. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(12):1103–11.

## Methodology

- Retrospective chart review
  - Sample size: 456
  - Records from January 2019 to November 2022
  - Data collected from Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican – Siena campus
  - Medical ICU and Surgical ICU

## Inclusion

MV/ICU patients on continuous sedation for ≥ 48 hours

- Dexmedetomidine
- Fentanyl
- Hydromorphone
- Ketamine
- Midazolam
- Propofol

## Exclusion

- Comfort care
- Chronic MV/Tracheostomy
- Death prior to day 3
- High ventilator settings (FiO<sub>2</sub> ≥80%, PEEP ≥10) prior to day 3
- Induced hypothermia
- Neuromuscular blocker infusion
- Proning
- Seizures/Status Epilepticus
- Substance withdrawal

## Outcomes

- Primary**
  - Number of ventilation days
- Secondary**
  - All-cause mortality
  - Confusion Assessment Method (CAM-ICU) positive days
  - Continuous sedation days
  - ICU days
  - Number of patients who have achieved RASS goal of -1 to +1 off of continuous sedation
  - Number of patients restarted on continuous sedation

## Conclusion

- Pending final outcome of results